

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,888	06/29/2001	Anil Vasudevan	219.40060X00	4965
20457	7590 11/05/2004		EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			BAROT, BHARAT	
SUITE 1800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22209-9889		2155	

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- U
	09/893,888	VASUDEVAN, ANIL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bharat N Barot	2155	
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) date of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute is a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt ary period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this community of the community	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>29 June 2001</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	•	•	rits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are versions. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction. 	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by			,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified co	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Summany (DTO 442)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/20/01. 	.948) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	l

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103(a)

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,727,002) in view of Chalasani et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,274,782).
- 3. As to claim 1, Miller et al teach a method of transferring data packets between a server environment and a client (abstract, figures 1-2; and column 4 line 50 to column 5 line 63), the method comprising: receiving a data packet from a stack (source) in the server environment; sending an acknowledgment packet to the stack; and transmitting the data packet across an I/O bus (network) in the server environment (figures 1-3; and column 4 line 50 to column 6 line 11).

Application/Control Number: 09/893,888

Art Unit: 2155

However, Miller et al do not teach that the acknowledgment packet is sent to the stack without sending the acknowledgment packet across the I/O bus.

Chalasani et al teach that transmitting the data packet across an I/O bus (network) in the server (memory modules) environment; and the acknowledgment packet is sent to the stack (processing elements) without sending the acknowledgment packet across the I/O bus (sending the acknowledgment packet across a response network) (figures 1-3; and column 5 line 9 to column 6 line 20).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Chalasani et al stated above in the method of Miller et al as stated above because it would have improved managing and controlling a network switch and limit the flexibility and performance scalability of the network by reducing packet traffic across the I/O bus (network) in the server environment.

- 4. As to claim 2, Miller et al disclose that the data packets comprise TCP/IP data packets (column 5 line 64 to column 6 line11; and column 13 lines 18-31).
- 5. As to claim 3, Miller et al do not teach that storing information regarding the transmitted data packet in a network interface card.

Chalasani et al teach that storing information regarding the transmitted data packet in a network interface card (memory modules) (figures 1 and 3;and column 5 line 55 to column 6 line 66).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Chalasani et al stated above in the method of Miller et al as stated above because it would have improved managing and controlling a network switch and performance scalability of the network.

- 6. As to claim 4, Miller et al teach that transmitting the data packet across a network from the server environment to the client (figure 2; and column 4 line 50 to column 5 line 63).
- 7. As to claims 5-7, Miller et al teach that the network interface card monitoring acknowledgment packets regarding the data packet from the client/server; recognizing an error condition if the acknowledgment packet regarding the transmitted data packet is not receiving from the client/server; and transmitting an indication of the error condition (abstract; column 2 lines 38-63; and column 7 line 37 to column 8 line 59).
- 8. As to claims 8-14, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1-7 above.

Application/Control Number: 09/893,888 Page 5

Art Unit: 2155

9. As to claims 15-21, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1-7 above, since claims 15-21 are merely an apparatus for the method of operation defined in the method claims 1-7.

10. As to claims 22-24, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1-7 above, since claims 22-24 are merely an apparatus for the method of operation defined in the method claims 1-7.

Additionally, Chalasani et al a network interface card comprising: a mechanism to communicate across a network so as to transmit the received data packets to a remote system and to receive an acknowledgment packet from the remote system across the network (figures 1-2; column 5 lines 9-54; and column 6 lines 29-66).

Additional Reference

- 11. The examiner as of general interest cites the following reference.
 - a. Takamoto et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,470,391.

Contact Information

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bharat Barot whose telephone number is (703) 305-4092. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alam, Hosain, can be reached at (703) 308-6662. A central official fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Patent Examiner Bharat Barot

Art Unit 2155

October 29, 2004

Bharat Barot

PRIMARY EXAMINER